REMARKS

In response to the objection to Claim 1, as set forth in paragraph 3 of the Office Action, Applicants have amended Claim 1 in the manner suggested by the Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this ground of rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3, 10 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Zepp et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,880,229) in view of Abukawa et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,313,558) and further in view of Umeda et al (U.S. Patent However, for the reasons discussed hereinafter, Applicants No. 6,124,660). respectfully submit that all claims which remain of record in this application distinguish over the cited references, whether considered separately or in combination.

The present invention is directed to an epicycloidal motor which has a stator core that is formed by a combination of multiple split core pieces with a stator winding conductor being wound in slots of the stator core. In particular, each of the split core pieces is in the form of a tee, which includes a tee base, a tee column extending radially from the tee base, and a tee flange which extends in a circumferential direction on both sides of a the tee column. In the structure according to the present invention as defined in the claims, it is important to note that the tee bases collectively form the split core pieces which, when

assembled in the manner shown in Figures 1a and 1b, together form the stator

core. Accordingly, because the stator core is made up of the respective tee bases

which are mounted peripherally adjacent around the interior of the stator, it is

unnecessary for the housing ring 1 (Figure 1a) to be made of a magnetic

material. In fact, the housing ring 1 itself is made of a nonmagnetic material, as

discussed in the specification at paragraph 22 on pages 10 and 11. In particular,

the housing may be made of aluminum, an aluminum alloy, a zinc alloy or

magnesium. Accordingly, the housing ring 1 does not constitute part of the

magnetic circuit, or part of the stator core itself, which is provided by the tee

bases adjacent split core pieces.

In Zepp et al, on the other hand, the core, which is part of the magnetic

circuit, is designated by the numeral 2 and 2', and is made of a single piece, not

being split. Thus, for example, the specification states at Column 4, line 61, that

"The stator ring used in the present invention can be made using a stack of thin

steel laminations that contain slots having female shape of the primary and

secondary locating structures." (See also Column 5, lines 41-45.) It should be

noted in this regard, that the stator core formed by the stack of thin steel

laminations has slots 12 into which the stator teeth 10 are fitted. Nevertheless,

the core itself, which is formed by the laminated steel plates and designated by

the reference numerals 2 and 2' in Zepp et al is provided in the form of a single

(laminated) piece.

Page 5 of 7

The third paragraph in the body of Claim 1 of the present application has

been amended to recite that the cylindrical housing, which bears all of the

respective tees that collectively make up the stator core, is made of a

nonmagnetic material. This feature is also neither taught nor suggested by Zepp

et al, in which, as noted previously, the stator ring 2, 2' is made of laminated

steel plates.

Using a nonmagnetic housing ring 1 made of a nonmagnetic material as

disclosed and claimed in the present application permits the core pieces to be

pressed together by shrink fitting as described in the specification at paragraph

[0022]. The tee base (21) is connected with the cylindrical housing 1 so that they

are pressed together after the shrinkage fitting, so as to form the cores from the

adjacent tee bases, as noted previously. The Zepp et al reference teaches no such

structure. The Abukawa et al reference, on the other hand, has been cited only

as showing flat inclinations (26) arranged on both ends of the outer periphery of

the stator magnetic poles. Umeda et al, on the other hand, has been cited as

showing the ratio of an overall effective area of the conductor to an effective

sectional area of the slot in the range of 0.5 to 0.8. Insofar as Applicants have

been able to determine, neither such reference teaches or suggests those features

of the invention which are missing in Zepp et al, as described above.

Accordingly, a combination of all three references does not replicate the present

invention.

Page 6 of 7

Serial No. 10/620,760

Amendment Dated: December 5, 2006

Reply to Office Action Mailed: August 16, 2006

Attorney Docket No. 056207.52601US

In light of the foregoing remarks, this application should be in condition

for allowance, and early passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested. If

there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general,

a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should

expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #056207.52601US).

Respectfully submitted,

Gary R. Edwards

Registration No. 31,824

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

GRE:kms

2917106_1

Page 7 of 7